

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/781,896	02/20/2004	Ryutaro Oke	HITA-0517	4208
7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER		
Stanley P. Fisher			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
Reed Smith LLI				
Suite 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3110 Fairview Park Drive			2871	
Falls Church, VA 22042-4503			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-
-11	1
Ι,	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/781,896	OKE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dung Nguyen	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 c 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro		merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 2-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 2,5,6,11 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-10,14-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' amendment dated 06/12/2006 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 2, 5-12 and newly added claims 13-15 are now pending in the application. Claims 3-4 stand withdrawn from consideration.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejections as follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 7-10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 7, the amendment dated 06/12/2006 recites a negative limitation which is not disclosed in the original specification as failing to comply with the written description requirement. It should be noted that any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. See MPEP 2173.05(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/781,896 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 7-10 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto, US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0174484, in view of Takeda et al., US Patent No. 6,724,452.

Regarding the above claims, Matsumoto's figures 3-4 which disclose a liquid crystal display device comprising:

an active matrix substrate (101) with a plurality of gate lines (102), drain lines (106), switching elements (TFTs), pixel electrodes (112), counter electrodes (111);

- . another substrate (201);
- the counter electrode being above the drain line (see figure 4), having a groove (slit 115) extending along the drain line direction as claimed (see figure 3);
 - . an orientation film (116) as claimed.

Matsumoto does not disclose the groove not being cut through. Takeda et al. do disclose an electrode with a groove (depression 23A) (see figure 94). therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the invention was made to employ the Matsumoto's electrode having a groove that is not cut through the electrode as shown by Takeda to improve a viewing angle through the groove functioning as the domain regulating means (see col. 49, ln. 38).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 5, 6, 11 and 12 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2871

5. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter
The references of record neither disclose nor make obvious a liquid crystal device comprising a
combination of various elements as claimed, more specifically of the counter electrode has a
groove which is recessed along the extending direction of the drain line between an end
periphery of the counter electrode and the drain line such that the groove are formed at both sides
of the drain line (claim 2) as well as a metal layer being formed between the groove and the
orientation film (claim 11).

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2871

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1782. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN

08/21/2006

Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2871